

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/052,343	01/18/2002	Albert Augustus Mullins	D7661-10	3930
75	90 08/26/2003			
Richard T. Redano			EXAMINER	
Duane Morris L	LP		DANG, HOANG C	
Suite 500	Dlaga			
One Greenway			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Houston, TX 7	7/040		3672	
			DATE MAILED: 08/26/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No	. Applican	it(s)			
1		10/052,343	MULLINS	SETAL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Hoang Dang	3672	M			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply		r sheet with the correspond	lence address			
A SH THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I.  1.136(a). In no event, hoventy within the statutory mid will apply and will expired the application.	ever, may a reply be timely filed  nimum of thirty (30) days will be consi  SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing da o become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C.	ite of this communication. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06	<u>5 June 2003</u> .					
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ 1	This action is non-	inal.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application	on.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3</u> is/are rejected.						
7)🖂	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2 and 4-20</u> is/are objected to.						
ì	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and on Papers	or election require	ment.				
l ''	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc		ed to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) 🔲 🛚	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.					
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	•					
ı	1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been rece	eived.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes			visional application).			
	□ The translation of the foreign language packnowledgment is made of a claim for domest			<b>1.</b>			
Attachment	• •		\$				
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) I Notice of Informal Patent Applic Other:				
J.S. Patent and Tra PTOL-326 (Re		Action Summary		Part of Paper No. 8			

Application/Control Number: 10/052,343

Art Unit: 3672

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

1. Claim 21 is objected to because of the following informalities: The expression "the upset or coupling of the tubular" has no proper antecedent basis. Further, the word "an upset or coupling" in claim 21, line 6 should be --said upset or coupling-- since it refers back to "upset or coupling" in line 5. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hawkins et al (US 5,918,673).

The claimed structure reads exactly on the reference's when members (19) and (26-29) of Hawkins et al '673 are respectively considered as "mandrel" and "seal" as recited.

4. Claims 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stokley (US 5,682,952).

The claimed structure reads exactly on the reference's when members (84) and (44 or 158) are respectively considered as "mandrel" and "seal" as recited.

Application/Control Number: 10/052,343

Art Unit: 3672

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 21 is allowed.

6. Claims 2 and 4-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Specification

7. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- 8. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract exceeds 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The copending application recited on the first page of the specification should be updated. Page 13, line 4, "nose (62)" should be --nose (72)--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/052,343

Art Unit: 3672

#### Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoang Dang whose telephone number is 703-308-2149. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:15-5:45 Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Bagnell can be reached on 703-308-2151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-2168.

Hoang Dang Primary Examiner Art Unit 3672

10052343.1rej